ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD, 399 (REV. 12/2013)

<u>`</u>	ECONOMIC IMPACT	DIZZIENIE	
	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Pepartment of Alcoholic Beverage Com	Robert de Ruyter	Robert.deRuyter@abc.ca.gov	916-419-8958
escriptive title from notice register or form 400 esponsible Beverage Service Training Pro	ogram		NOTICE FILE NUMBER
ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPAC		ptions in the rulemaking record.	
. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate			
a. Impacts business and/or employees	e. Imposes reporting	requirements	
□ b. Impacts small businesses		e instead of performance	
	g. Impacts individuals	•	
d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of the above	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
	Ш		
		this Economic Impact Statement.	
	<u>-</u>	mpact Statement as appropriate.	
Department of Alcoholic Beverage		c impact of this regulation (which includes th	e fiscal impact) is:
(Agency/Department)			
Below \$10 million			
Between \$10 and \$25 million			
Between \$25 and \$50 million		Ł	
Over \$50 million [If the economic impact is as specified in Governmen]		l to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact /</u>	<u>ssessment</u>
Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	56,173	•	
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonpro	ofits): ABC On-Sale Licensees,	corporate and nonprofit RBS Traini	ng Providers
Enter the number or percentage of total	770/		
businesses impacted that are small businesses:	33%		
Enter the number of businesses that will be creat	ed: 0 elimin	ated: <u>0</u>	
Explain: The number of ABC On-Sale Lie	censees and RBS Training Pr	oviders should remain constant thi	ough implementat
Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide		
	Local or regional (List areas):	·	
. L	rocal of regional (rist aleas):		
Enter the number of jobs created: 2,316	and eliminated: 0		
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impact	ed: Increased content creati	on and investment in RBS Training.	. It is
anticipated that \$89 per employed Ale			
Will the regulation affect the ability of California bother states by making it more costly to produce		/es 🔀 No	
If YES, explain briefly:			
	· ·		

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD, 399 (REV, 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. ESTIMATED COS	TS Include calculations and	assumptions in t	the rulemaking record.	•	
1. What are the total	statewide dollar costs that bu	sinesses and indi	viduals may incur to comply w	with this regulation ove	r its lifetime? \$ 206,800,000
a. Initial costs for	small business: \$2,121.40)	Annual ongoing costs: 5	\$ <u>1,060.70</u>	Years: 2022-2024
b. Initial costs for	a typical business: \$ 10,607.0	00	Annual ongoing costs: :	5,303.50	Years: 2022-2024
c. Initial costs for	n individual; \$0.00		Annual ongoing costs: 5	\$ <u>89.00</u>	Years: 2022-2024
d. Describe other	economic costs that may occu	ır: <u>Individual</u>	costs are for those bei	ng initially hired as	Alcohol Servers. Includes
opportunity c	osts of time spent in RB	S Course.			
2. If multiple industr	ies are impacted, enter the sha	are of total costs	for each industry: The ongo	oing costs will only	be to ABC On-Sale
Licensees and	individuals who becom	ne Alcohol Se	rvers.		
	poses reporting requirements				hese requirements, must be submitted. \$\$200.00
i. Will this regulation	directly impact housing costs	YES	⊠ NO		
		If YES, enter t	he annual dollar cost per hou	sing unit: \$	
			Numbe	er of units:	
. Are there compara	ole Federal regulations?	YES	⊠ NO		
Explain the need fo	r State regulation given the ex	distence or absen	ice of Federal regulations: $\frac{Th}{T}$	e California Legisl	ature determined the need for
a mandatory F	esponsible Beverage S	ervice Trainin	ng Program to address t	the costs to the co	mmunity of overuse of alcohol.
Enter any additiona	l costs to businesses and/or in	dividuals that m	ay be due to State - Federal d	ifferences: \$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
. ESTIMATED BEN	FITS Estimation of the dolla	r value of benefi	ts is not specifically required t	y rulemaking law, but	encouraged.
l , Briefly summarize health and welfare	he benefits of the regulation, of California residents, worke	which may inclu r safety and the S	de among others, the State's environment: Reduc	ce the over use of a	alcohol by minors and
intoxicated pe	rsons at ABC On-Sale L	icensees to re	educe the alcohol relat	ed harms and cost	s to California Communities
through provi	ding Alcohol Servers w	ith Responsib	ole Beverage Service Tr	aining.	
. Are the benefits the	result of: 🔀 specific statuto	ory requirements	, or goals developed by	the agency based on b	road statutory authority?
Explain: The RBS	TPA ensures every Alco	hol Server w	ill understand their du	ty to serve alcohol	responsibly.
	statewide benefits from this re		•		
I. Briefly describe any	expansion of businesses curr	ently doing busi	ness within the State of Califo	rnia that would result fi	om this regulation:
The market fo	r Responsible Beverage	Service Trair	ning will increase by an	d estimated 1000	% leading to more trainers and
investment in	effective RBS trainings	being develo	ped both in-person an	d online.	
	O THE REGULATION Included by rulemaking law, but enco		nd assumptions in the rulem	aking record. Estimatio	n of the dollar value of benefits is not
. List alternatives co	nsidered and describe them be	elow. If no alterna	atives were considered, expla	in why not:	
Proposed Solu	tion: ABC Administered	l Online Certi	ification Exam for Alcol	nol Servers; Altern	ative 1: Proctored Certification
Exams; Alterna	tive 2: RBS Provider Ad	ministered C	ertification Exam.		
					PAGE 2

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD, 399 (REV, 12/2013)

	ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)
2.	Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:
	Regulation: Benefit: \$ 7,000,000,000 Cost: \$ 206,800,000
	Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ 7,000,000,000 Cost: \$ 425,800,000
	Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ 3,200,000,000 Cost: \$ 207,200,000
3.	Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: The benefits are an estimated reductions in the economic harms
	to California due to the overuse of alcohol.
1.	Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?
	Explain: In the proposed alternative the State is bearing much of the costs of developing and implementing the required
	technology to provide a full and robust program as prescribed by the legislature with less fiscal impact
	MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.
_	California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to
	submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.
1.	Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES NO
	If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4
2,	Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:
	Alternative 1:
	Alternative 2:
	(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)
3.	For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:
	Regulation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$
	Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$
	Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$
4. '	Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented?
	YES NO If YES, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as specified in
	Government Code Section 11346,3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.
5.	Briefly describe the following:
	The increase or decrease of investment in the State: Estimated 2020-2021 Investment is \$100.9 Million.
	The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: Responsible Beverage Service Training Providers will have a strong
	incentive to innovate and better their training programs to capture more of the newly created market
	The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency: Alcohol Servers and
	ABC On-Sale Licensees will better be aware of their duty in serving alcohol and all Californians will benefit.

PAGE 3

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD, 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FI:	SCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indicate rent year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	e appropriate boxes	1 through 6 and attach ca	lculations and assumpti	ons of fiscal imp	act for the
1.	Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Californ	Year which are reim	bursable by the State. (App Sections 17500 et seq. of t	proximate) he Government Code).		
\$						
. [a. Funding provided in		•			
	Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	·		
. [b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Br	<u> </u>	•			
		Fiscal Year:				
2.	Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Californ				,	
\$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
C	heck reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and p	provide the approprie	ate information:	,		
	a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in					
Ē	b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the	e	· . · ·		_Court.	
	Case of:		Vs.		<u> </u>	
	c. Implements a mandate of the people of this St	ate expressed in the	ir approval of Proposition I	No.		
	Date of Election:					
	d. Issued only in response to a specific request fro					
	Local entity(s) affected:	,			-	
	e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, et	tc. from:	•			
	Authorized by Section:		of the	·	Code;	
	f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of loc	cal government whic	ch will, at a minimum, offse	et any additional costs to	each;	•
	g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for	a new crime or infra	ction contained in			
<u> </u>	Annual Savings. (approximate)	•				
٠.						,
\$ 	No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes	anhytachnical nan e	u shatantiya ar alayif ina aha	ngos to surrent laur raquil	ntions	•
_			, -	inges to current law regul	ations.	•
∑ 5.	No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect	t any local entity or p	rogram.	1	•	
X 6.	Other. Explain Some local jurisdictions curr	rently have a Ma	andatory RBS trainin	g Requirements, bu	ıt this progra	m
	should not affect the bulk of their					
	•		• ,			PAGE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assured year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	sumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	*
\$ 1,829,211	*
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
★ b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the 2019–2020 Fiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	F
3. No listal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any state agency of program.	, and a second s
🗵 4. Other. Explain Please see attached yearly program cost projecton from 2019-2020 budget	proposal.
	e ,
C EICCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE DOCCDAMS In disease and residue to the second design of the second des	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attached impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	cn calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
	¥
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	3.
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
	-
	5
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
Makken	5/20/19
he signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections	
he impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretar iighest ranking official in the organization.	y must have the form signed by the
	DATE
a c Ataly	5/2/19
Finance approval-and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Imp	pact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE

Responsible Beverage Service (subset of costs in project for RBS Functionality)

This analysis is necessary to estimate the credit card cost related to RBS (RBS program cost/volume of transactions = RBS exam rate, which drives the total revenue estimate and the credit card costs related to collecting that revenue)

f-vert			Ш	9-20		2020	24 5 65	Marie a	2/2	22		202	2-23
abasai Gandan	Monthly		ĺ	27			m v						
arsonal Services	Salary	Pos	_	Dollars	Pos		Dollars	Pos	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Dollars	Pos	ļ	Dollars
Product Manager (IT Specialist II) \$	7,929	0.50	\$	47,574	0.50	\$	47,574	0,50	\$	47,574	0.00	\$	***
Business Analyst (IT Specialist t) \$	6,699	0.50	\$	40,194	0.50	\$	40,194	0,50	\$	40,194	0.00	\$	*
Application Developer (IT Specialist I) \$	··	0.50	\$	40,194	0.50	\$	40,194	0.00	\$		0.00	\$	
Service Desk (IT Associate) \$, 5,373		\$		0.50	\$	32,238	0.50	\$	32,238	0.50	\$	32,238
Total Salaries	r 102	1,50	\$	127,962	2.00	\$	160,200	1.50	\$	120,006	0.50	\$	32,238
Benefits	54%		\$	69,099	-	46	86,508		\$	64,803		\$	17,409
Total, Salaries and Benefits			\$	197,061		Þ	246,708		\$	184,809		\$	49,647
perating Expenses	,		eas	9-20		ener.	-21		ar. Gr	.92	\$4. n25 sq)-23
OE&E for staff (\$13k per PY)	***************************************	\$		19,500	\$600 KIND SOLD SOCK	and the same	26,000	\$	14.11	19,500	\$	- W-C	6,500
and the state of t	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	i		10,000	4		AU, UUU			10,000	Ψ		6,000
Development and DevOps Contracts		4		9-20	100	2020	ÇA PARAMENT	40.200	2023	-22	W. 101 18	ana/	170
Product Management Vendor - transition to ABC Product Management	ger	\$	en avela	160,000					indiana.			nin kan	Santa Sv. (1756)
Application Development & Deploy (2-3 contractors for 6-9 mor	nths)	\$		500,000		······							
Application DevOps				***************************************	\$		288,000	\$		140,000	\$		70,000
Exam Deploy (Development of online exam)		\$		100,000									. 01000
Exam DevOps		***************************************			\$		50,000	\$		50,000	\$		50,000
Modern Development Environment Deploy .	***************************************	\$		45,000	8		36,000	\$		36,000	\$		36,000
Integration Test (initial rollout/test with current PBS jurisdictions	3)	\$		150,000							***************************************		
Examination Vendor	***************************************	\$	***********	25,000	\$		12,500	\$		12,500	\$	*******	12,500
Tot	al Contracts	\$		980,000	\$		366,500	\$	***************************************	238,500	\$		168,500
Acceptance with a second control of the seco			000000000	EXCENS TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE P	200000000000000000000000000000000000000				duna ada				
Services from Department of Technology		CHARLES IN CONTRACTOR	20)	9-20		2020	230 000		2024	22	0.00	(0)2	(23)
Statewide Technology Procurement		\$		7,875			Maletalemarani miranea				orana ana		
Project Approval Lifecycle		\$		9,188						Marie Ma			
Project Oversight		\$		27,563	\$.		36,750						
Total Service	s from CDT	\$		44,625	\$		36,750	\$		-	\$		-
	1	Stratismical	্বে ক্রিক	7.073 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100		ing appropri	P The second second	are organization	entral des			*3149424	
Call Center Support			331	9-20		12(1)2(1)			rura!			177	
Martin Ovida					\$		50,000	\$		25,000	\$	-14-14-14-14-14-14	10,000

	21	119-20		2020-21	# 4	2021-22	2022-23
Call Center Support			\$	50,000	\$	25,000	\$ 10,000
Hosting Costs	\$	25,000	\$	25,000	\$	25,000	\$ 25,000
Development Tools	\$	2,025	\$	2,025	\$	2,025	\$ 2,025
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			·				 X-realist que de la constant de la c
Total Occupation Ethernas	d	4 674 466	di	eac car	A	242.558	 7

Total Operating Expenses	3	1,071,150	3	526,275	\$ 310,025	<u>\$</u>	212,025
Total Additional Costs	\$	1,268,211	\$	772,983	\$ 494,834	\$	261,672
2018-19 BCP Costs	\$	561,000	\$	381,000	\$ 381,000	\$	381,000
Total Annual Costs	\$	1,829,211	\$	1,153,983	\$ 875,834	\$	642,672
Projected Volume of Exams				1,000,000	 300,000	*****************	300,000
Projected Revenue			\$	3,174,813	\$ 952,444	····	952,444
Credit Card Fees (2%)	`		\$	63,496	\$ 19,049	\$	19,049
Total Additional Costs with Credit Card	\$	1,268,211	\$	836,479	\$ 513,863	\$	280,720
		(manage manage 1 de la	***************************************		 	·	

Total Costs for first 5 years (including \$578,000 in 2018-19) \$
Total Volume

5,079,700 1,600,000

Exam fee to recover first 5 years of cost \$

3.17